# Towards a Social Virtual Reality Learning Environment in High Fidelity



Chiara Zizza<sup>1</sup>, Adam Starr<sup>2</sup>, Devin Hudson<sup>3</sup>, Sai Shreya Nuguri<sup>4</sup>, Prasad Calyam<sup>4</sup>, Zhihai He<sup>4</sup> 1 Grinnell College, IA, 2 Pomona College, CA, 3 Truman State University, MO, 4 University of Missouri July 2017



### Introduction

We wish to improve upon the shortcomings of existing virtual learning environments (VLEs) by creating a flexible virtual reality learning environment (VRLE). To this end, we will:

- Through the use of VR, develop a more user-friendly and immersive environment
- Provide more efficient and centralized access to lessons for the instructors
- Create a non-distracting space for group leaning to promote practical skill development

## Methods

- Conduct assessment on seven typically developing college-age subjects
- Compare mouse and keyboard movement with VR movement
- Randomly assign a condition of testing desktop or VR first
- Lead subject around VRLE and show activities
- Administer survey after each test (twice per subject)

## Results

An expert and architect of iSocial conducted qualitative tests to obtain preliminary evaluations of our VRLE. The expert concluded that: our VRLE is more immersive than iSocial, the layout is more engaging while not distracting, and the web app architecture is reusable for many of the original iSocial lessons.




Figure 1: Responses to "I feel present in [the VRLE][the VRLE]" for Desktop and VR tests indicate unanimous sense of presence in VR i.e., we observed increased engagement in the VRLE module from Desktop to VR. (left); Responses to "I enjoyed my time in [the VRLE]" for Desktop and VR tests show strong enjoyment in both Desktop and VR with a unanimous increase in enjoyment in VR i.e., we verified minimal distraction in the VRLE module with greater immersion in VR. (right)

Problems with desktop:

- Frustration with mouse and keyboard movement
- Less immersive
- head movement does not direct the avatar's orientation
- Hand movements are not reflected on the avatar


Problems with VR:


• About a third of the subjects felt dizzy from thumbpad movement

# Design

Our prototype VRLE architecture:

- 1. is consistent with Fig. 1 (left),
- 2. supports a group of up to 150 students (owing to High Fidelity capabilities, and assuming sufficient server-side resources),
- 3. connects students and instructors over different geographical regions, each wearing a VRLE client device shown in Fig. 1 (right), and
- 4. is designed with iSocial's standards for virtual learning, which include:
  - environment has reduced distractions,
  - avatars reflect the self image of the user,
  - guiding indicators direct movement, and
  - locking pods help keep the students fixated to view a lesson.





**Figure 2:** Major components of the experimental testbed setup for the usability study with HTC Vive and VRLE cloud server (left); Overlook of a iSocial standards compliant VRLE module demonstration with slide show and avatars of an instructor and three students (right)

### Conclusion

We overcome shortcomings in traditional VLEs with a prototype implementation of a VRLE in High Fidelity with the following capabilities:

- Public and private communication between users
- Instructor controlled content from a central application
- A more immersive, non-distracting environment

Preliminary tests with an iSocial expert show our VRLE is more immersive than iSocial and other tests indicate that our is more enjoyable.

# Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award Number: CNS-1659134. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.









